

## **Lindenia tetraphylla**

### **1. National level**

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **MED**

### **2. Biogeographical or marine level**

**2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region:** **MEDITERRANEAN**

**2.2 Published sources and/or websites:**

Galante, E. & J. R. Verdú, 2000. Los Artrópodos de la 'Directiva Hábitat' en España. Miniterio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid.

Baixeras, J. 2006. Les Libèl·lules de la Comunitat Valenciana. Conselleria de Territori i Habitatge. Generalitat Valenciana.

**2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region**

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km<sup>2</sup>: 0

2.3.2 Date of range determination:

2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:

2.3.4 Range trend: Decreasing (-)

2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km<sup>2</sup> (optional):

2.3.6 Range trend period:

2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend: Unknown

and/or specify

**2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region**

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------

2.4.2 Date of population estimation:

2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:

2.4.4 Quality of data on area:

2.4.5 Population trend: Unknown (X)

2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km<sup>2</sup>):

2.4.7 Population trend period:

2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

# Lindenia tetraphylla

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:	101 - modification of cultivation practices 110 Use of pesticides 701 - water pollution
2.4.11 Threats	101 - modification of cultivation practices 110 Use of pesticides 701 - water pollution

## 2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:	Parecen estar ligados a grandes extensiones de aguas tranquilas y limpias como la
2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):	
2.5.3 Date of estimation:	
2.5.4 Quality of the data:	
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:	Unknown (X)
2.5.6 Trend period:	
2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend:	
Other (specify):	

2.6 Future prospects for the species:	Poor prospects - species likely to struggle unless conditions change
---------------------------------------	--

## 2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):	
2.7.2 Favourable reference population:	
2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):	
2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):	No se han observado ejemplares desde hace más de 40 años, posiblemente su pre

## Conclusion

### Biogeographical or marine level

### Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)

Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Unknown (XX)